

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	T			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,773	09/12/2003	Kouichi Tada	100341-00046	5773
4372 ARENT FOX I	7590 04/17/2007 PLLC	EXAMINER		
1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			TRAN, THANG V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
		•		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/660,773	TADA ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Thang V. Tran	2627		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISSIONS OF time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the limit of the lim	DN.  timely filed  m the mailing date of this communication.  JED (35.U.S.C. 8.133)		
Status		•			
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 M	March 2007.			
		s action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrated Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	-		
	on Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	, or			
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 September 2003</u> is/		cted to by the Examiner		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		- f		
11) 🔲 🗆	Γhe oath or declaration is objected to by the Ε	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[2	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea  ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage		
Attachment	• •				
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar			
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draπsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail I  5) Notice of Informal  6) Other:			

Art Unit: 2627

In response to a communication dated 3/15/07, an amendment dated 1/17/07 has been entered and considered with the following results:

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumon et al. (JP 2000322742A).

Regarding claims 1 and 2, Kumon et al, according to Fig. 2 and an abstract, teaches an optical disk device (see Fig. 2), which is used for identifying a kind of an optical disk and operated depending upon the kind of the identified disk, comprising: a detector (207) for performing a step of detecting a wobble signal recorded on a recording surface of the disk; a determiner (208) for performing a step of determining a number of a cycle of the wobble signal detected by the detector by comparing the cycle of the wobble signal to a cycle of at least one reference signal (reference clock); and an identifier (209) for performing a step of identifying the kind of the disk based on the number of cycle of the wobble signal identified by the determiner (see abstract). However, Humon et al fails to suggest the use of identifying whether the optical disk is DVD-RW or D VD+RW by identifying the number of the cycle of the wobble is 186 times or 32 times data cycle. But, it is known in the optical data storage and/or retrieval art that a wobble formed by tracks on a DVD-RW has a cycle of 186 times longer than recording clock cycle and a wobble formed by tracks on a DVD+WR has a cycle of 32 times longer than recording clock cycle, and since the device of Kumon et al. identifies the type of disk by measuring/counting the cycle of the

Application/Control Number: 10/660,773

Art Unit: 2627

wobble, it is clearly that the DVD-RW or DVD+WR type can be easily identified by measuring/counting

Page 3

its cycle of wobble by the device of Kumom et al.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 2 have been considered but are moot

in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thang V. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7595. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nguyen Hoa can be reached on (571) 272-7579. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

hang V. Tran

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2627